

Multilingual Information Retrieval

Martin Braschler

Dr. sc., Dipl. Ing. ETH
Zurich University of Applied Sciences Winterthur
February 2, 2006




Overview

- Multilingual Information Retrieval?
- Different Degrees of MLIR
- Architecture
- Why not MT?
- Requirements for Library Search
- Possible Directions
- Commercial Systems



Different Degrees of MLIR

- Localization of user interfaces, monolingual in different languages
- 2. Query in L1, all documents in L2
- 3. Query in L1, documents in L1+L2...Ln
- 4. Query in L1, documents multilingual





Different MLIR Architectures

MLIR systems can implement only parts of the overall architecture:

- Only 2: multilingual index/retrieval, monolingual querying
- Only 1+2: Full multilingual system, but results in original languages of the documents only
- 1+2+3: Full mulitilingual system, results in language preferred by the user



Requirements for Library Search

- Many languages (number of official EU languages: 20; 21 from 1-1-2007): System must handle large number of languages
- Coping with OCR errors
- Integration of existing metadata
- Search on metadata and/or full-text
- Presentation of search results: in original language, or translated



Many languages

- some query translation approaches do not scale well (query must be translated into n languages)
- document translation approaches do not scale well if more than one target language
- some approaches need interlingua (propagation of translation errors)



Coping with OCR Errors

- document terms are translated incorrectly
- document terms can potentially not even be found in their original language
- N-Gram Approaches (need statistical translation resources)



Search on metadata/full text

- issues of merging of result lists: how is the information from the metadata weighted against information from full text?
- potentially different translation strategy on metadata: thesaurus
- metadata can also potentially help to cluster documents → helpful for similarity search



Presentation of search results

- Translation of search results is a typical machine translation problem
- May be hard to offer for all desired language pairs
- There may be non-technical considerations on whether this feature should be offered (issues of quality of the information offered)



Possible directions

- Approach for many languages/OCR errors: n-grams (see e.g. work by JHU)
- Similar document search
- Cross-Language on metadata using multilingual thesaurus



Possible directions


- Cross-Language on Metadata:
- Mapping between classifications of individual libraries
- The mapping can be generated from training data:
 - Aligned document set with classifications
- Meets user needs well

Mapping Metadata



- Steps:
- 1. Align training data
- 2. Create mapping

Results






Possible directions

- Similar documents search:
- User searches in her/his preferred language, identifies some relevant results
- If users is not satisfied with the result, the search is expanded to some additional languages
- Similar document search is relatively simple & robust
- May work well even despite OCR problems,
- This process may mirror actual user preferences fairly well

Similar Document Search



- Steps:
- 1. Monolingual Retrieval
- 2. Document selection





Commercial systems

- TwentyOne/Irion
- Relevancy/Eurospider
- Infocodex
- Cindor/Textwise
- Clairvoyance
- (Autonomy)