

DSTC

Tools and Approaches for Enhancing Semantic Interoperability

Jane Hunter
jane@dstc.edu.au


Agenda

- Why is Semantic Interoperability important?
- Ontologies, Ontology Languages
- Ontology Tools, Harmonization, Extensions
- Semantic Inferencing/Logic/Querying
- Visualization/Presentation
- Annotation Tools
- DSTC Projects
- The Future?


Semantic Interoperability

- Provide well-defined meanings for information on the web
- Enable people, machines, services, agents to find, consume, process and produce data
- Determine relationships between web resources – extend hyperlinks to semantic relationships
- Seamless, automated query mediation, content integration and knowledge extraction
- Discover, match and combine web services and resources
- Across communities, organizations, disciplines

Problem 1 – Query Mediation




Problem 2 – Harmonization




Sharing, Exchanging and Tracking Information

Problem 3 – Different Views



Problem 4. Integration



Problem 5. Knowledge Extraction

Examples:

- Shopping Assistant
 - Aggregate price information, ratings, evaluations, locations
- Virtual Travel Agent
 - Find me a hotel close to the Telstra building and water sports, which is 4 star and under \$120
- E-Science
 - Bio-informatics
 - Environmental modeling
 - Seismic, hydrological, ecological, geodetic, physical data

MAENAD Objectives

Semantic Interoperability Tools to enable:

- indexing, querying, processing, assimilation, presentation, preservation, sharing, exchange, reuse, integration

of **Mixed media** across:

- Domains
- Enterprises
- Networks/Grids
- Media types
- Granularity



Knowledge capture, extraction, layering




Key Components

- Data models, Ontologies
- Metadata standards/schemas
- Metadata capture/generation tools
- Workflows for capture/analysis/reuse
- Semantic Indexing Tools
- Semantic Inferencing Rules
- Search, browse and query interfaces
- Integration, Assimilation, Correlation
- Presentation/Visualization Tools
- Collaborative, Annotation Tools
- Knowledge Mining and Capture
- Preservation Tools

For:

- Resources &
- Services
- Computers
- Instruments
- People
- Software Agents

Middleware Categories



Why Ontologies?

- Approximate description of a domain by defining *a set of concepts, axioms and relationships* using a formal language
- Definitions of classes, properties and relationships between them – used to describe and represent an area of knowledge
- Semantic interoperability
 - Integration of and mapping between different metadata schemes/vocabularies
 - Richer, more accurate search and browse capabilities
 - Relate information in a page/resource to associated knowledge structures

Ontologies

- UMLS – Unified Medical Language Ontology
- CIDOC/CRM – Conceptual Reference Model
- GONG, GALEN, SNOMED - Biomedical
- MPEG-7 – Multimedia Content Description
- Harmony ABC Core Ontology
- DOLCE – Lab. For Applied Ontology
- IEEE SUO – Standard Upper Ontology
- FUSION – Fuel Cell Ontology


MPEG-7

- Multimedia Content Description Interface
- Developed by ISO/IEC SC29 WG11
- Standardized representation of multimedia metadata in XML (defined using XML Schema Language)
- Describes audiovisual content at multiple levels (*features, structure, semantics, models, collections*)
- Published as ISO Standard in March 2002

Historical Perspective


- 1999 – RDF Schema rejected as DDL
- 1999-2000 – UML modeling diagrams
- 2000 – Need for Semantic Interoperability/Conceptual Model
- 2001 – RDF/DAML+OIL Ontology
- 2002 – OWL Ontology

MPEG-7 Class Hierarchy




Descriptor Relationships


<i>Feature</i>	Video Segment	Still Region	Moving Region	Audio Segment
Time	✓	-	✓	✓
Shape	-	✓	✓	-
Color	✓	✓	✓	-
Texture	-	✓	-	-
Motion	✓	-	✓	-
Audio Ds	✓	-	-	✓




ABC Ontology




ABC+MPEG-7



ABC+GONG



ABC+GONG+MPEG-7



Query By Image Content

- Retrieve images based on
 - Colour, texture, shape
 - spatial relations between these
- Query by example image
- Doesn't support semantic queries

Semantic Inferencing

- If $((\text{colour} == x) \&\& (\text{texture} == y) \&\& (\text{shape} == z) \&\& (\text{size} < a))$
then $(\text{object} == b)$
- Where b is a high-level semantic term in the domain-specific ontology

Domain-specific Semantic Querying

- Give me all images depicting “apoptosis”
 - A term defined in the GONG
 - Inferred from the rules + low level features
 - Low level features – from comparison with example database
 - MathML + RuleML

Application Examples

- Indigenous Knowledge Management
- FUSION
- Vannotea
- PANIC

Conclusions

- Metadata – expensive, poor quality – need for automatic extraction (real-time), workflows, controlled vocabularies, validation, authentication, metadata normalization
- Dynamic, executable, distributed, domain-specific ontologies
- Semantic descriptions/discovery/choreography of web/grid services
- Ontology harmonization – manual, difficult
- Domain-specific semantic weblets feasible
- Global Semantic Web – automated information integration & knowledge mining – still long way off

References

<http://www.w3.org/2001/sw>

<http://metadata.net/harmony>

<http://metadata.net/sunago/fusion/>

<http://metadata.net/filmed/>

<http://semanticgrid.org/>